

This is Google's cache of <http://www.kbr.com/Newsroom/Fact-Sheets/Facts-About-the-Anna-Mayo-Litigation/>. It is a snapshot of the page as it appeared on Apr 8, 2011 21:51:51 GMT. The [current page](#) could have changed in the meantime. [Learn more](#)

These search terms are highlighted: **kbr fact anna mayo** These terms only appear in links [Text-only version](#)  
pointing to this page: **sheet cache**



## Facts About the **Anna Mayo** Litigation

July 6, 2010

There are discrepancies between Ms. **Anna** Mayo's claims against **KBR** and the facts pertaining to her allegations. Below are facts known to date that are associated with several issues that have been alleged in the Complaint filed by Ms. **Mayo**.

### Work Environment at **KBR**

**KBR** in no way condones or tolerates illegal or unethical behavior. **KBR** maintains security measures and strong and effective sexual harassment and assault prevention and reporting programs which include multiple trainings, communications, reporting mechanisms, and investigation procedures. Sexual misconduct is not tolerated. Ms. Mayo's allegations to the contrary are not correct.

Immediately upon learning of Ms. Mayo's allegations of assault, **KBR** took her to a Military medical facility for treatment. Ms. **Mayo** was treated there, and was then transported to a Military hospital in Germany. **KBR** also assigned a counselor from the Company's Employee Assistance Program to provide counseling and assistance to Ms. **Mayo**.

### Identity of the Alleged Assailant

Ms. Mayo's Complaint identifies the alleged assailant as a "**KBR** employee."

However, the assailant as identified by the Army's Criminal Investigation Division was not a **KBR** employee. He was employed by a subcontractor.

The Army's Criminal Investigation Division has been conducting the investigation in this matter, and in **fact**, assumed immediate control of the investigation and asked **KBR** to cease its own investigation.

### Subcontractors in **KBR** Living Quarters

Prior to the attack on her, Ms. **Mayo** informed a supervisor in the Operations, Maintenance and Logistics

department that she had allowed a subcontract employee into her room and asked if he was allowed to be unescorted. The supervisor informed her that it depended on what his job was and who he worked for. Ms. **Mayo** made no further inquiries or report that she felt threatened by the incident.

**KBR** will continue its defense in this litigation.

**KBR** is a global engineering, construction and services company supporting the energy, hydrocarbon, government services, minerals, civil infrastructure, power and industrial markets. For more information, visit [www.kbr.com](http://www.kbr.com).